

Spinal Cord Decompression Sickness in Sport Diving

Judith Aharon-Peretz, MD; Yohai Adir, MD; Carlos R. Gordon, MD, DSc;
Shahar Kol, MD; Nachum Gal, MD; Yehuda Melamed, MD

• **Objective.**—To summarize 16 years' experience in the diagnosis and treatment of spinal cord decompression sickness in Israel.

Design.—The survey data were collected firsthand by physicians trained in underwater diving medicine.

Setting.—The Israeli Naval Medical Institute, Israel's national hyperbaric referral center.

Patients.—Sixty-eight sport divers diagnosed as having spinal cord decompression sickness.

Interventions.—Hydration and 100% oxygen breathing until the patient reached the hyperbaric chamber. All patients received recompression therapy on US Navy treatment tables using oxygen, except for six who were treated by Comex Treatment Table CX-30, which uses helium in addition to oxygen.

Main Outcome Measures.—Neurological examination after the completion of recompression therapy.

Results.—Forty-one percent of the dives were performed within the decompression limits of the US Navy standard decompression tables. Risk factors were fatigue, circumstances suggesting dehydration, and extreme physical effort. The most common presenting symptoms were paresthesias, weakness of the legs, lower back pain, or abdominal pain. Full recovery was achieved in 79% of the patients. Spinal symptoms appeared immediately on surfacing in six of the eight patients who continued to have multiple neurological sequelae.

Conclusions.—United States Navy air decompression tables appear not to be completely safe for sport divers. Even mild spinal symptoms identified on surfacing should be treated vigorously. High-pressure oxygen-helium therapy seems to be a promising alternative in cases of severe spinal cord decompression sickness.

(*Arch Neurol.* 1993;50:753-756)

Decompression sickness (DCS) is a clinical syndrome caused by alterations in environmental pressure,¹⁻³ which result in the liberation into tissue or blood of inert gas bubbles previously loaded within tissues as a soluble phase.^{4,5} Sport divers generally use compressed air as the

breathing mixture, and in their case, the inert gas that may be liberated during decompression is nitrogen. Pathophysiological changes of DCS are attributable to bubble formation and to the damage caused by the bubbles to tissues and blood supply. Based on clinical manifestations, the generally accepted classification of DCS is type I or II. Type I includes joint pain, skin marbling, small patchy hemorrhages, and lymphatic obstruction, while type II is dominated by injury to the central nervous system, mainly spinal, and inner ear and lung involvement.⁶

In a recent innovative workshop, an effort was made to establish a more accurate classification of type II DCS according to the anatomic localization of the lesion.⁷ Spinal cord injury represents one of the most ominous manifestations of the disease, affecting 20% to 50% of cases resulting from air diving and is frequently resistant to recompression therapy.⁸⁻¹² Therapy consists of recompression and the concomitant administration of high concentrations of inspired oxygen according to a diverse selection of therapeutic tables.⁸ The oxygen partial pressure should be high to increase the inherent unsaturation and hence the driving force for resolution of the bubble. On the other hand, the overall exposure should not precipitate oxygen toxicity. Until the patient reaches a hyperbaric medical facility, the treatment for DCS includes proper hydration and the administration of oxygen at the highest possible concentration.^{1,3}

During 1975 to 1990, there were 227 air scuba diving accidents treated at the two hyperbaric centers in Israel and evaluated at the Israeli Naval Hyperbaric Institute, Haifa. We summarize herein our experience in the diagnosis and treatment of 68 cases of spinal cord DCS. All patients were evaluated and treated by our staff, forming, as far as we are aware, the largest series of patients in which a descriptive history of dive profile, risk factors, and time delay to recompression is reported firsthand by physicians with special training in diving medicine.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Sixty-eight divers (59 men and nine women; mean age, 33.9±9.4 years; range, 20 to 66 years) presented with spinal cord symptoms. Twenty-five percent (17) were inexperienced divers, while 75% (51) were experienced amateur or professional divers. All dives were made in open water, most of them recreational. The typical depth and bottom time were 25 to 30 m and 30 to 40 minutes, respectively. The dive profile was evaluated according to standard US navy air decompression tables,¹³ which calculate the amount of nitrogen absorbed

Accepted for publication December 17, 1992.

From the Department of Neurology, Rambam Medical Center, Haifa, Israel (Dr Aharon-Peretz); the Israeli Naval Hyperbaric Institute, Haifa (Drs Adir, Gordon, Kol, Weisz, and Melamed); and the Yosef Hospital, Eilat, Israel (Dr Gal).

Reprints not available. Correspondence should be sent to Israeli Naval Hyperbaric Institute, PO Box 8040, 31 080 Haifa, Israel (Dr Gordon).

tients make a full recovery while others are left with major neurological deficits.^{8,9}

Three theories have been proposed in an attempt to describe the pathophysiological events that lead to DCS. The "arterial" theory claims that the bubble's effect is mediated through arterial embolization of the microcirculation in the spinal cord and local growth of the bubbles by the assimilation of inert gas from the surrounding tissues, finally leading to obstruction of the circulation.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ The "venous" theory proposes that bubbles in the slow-flowing spinal epidural venous bed activate the clotting system and platelet aggregation, leading to stagnation and venous obstruction.¹⁸⁻²¹ The "autochthonous" theory suggests that when the tissues are loaded with inert gas and decompression is rapid, bubbles may nucleate within the tissues themselves.²² As white matter has a high fat content and a poor blood supply, autochthonous bubbles may appear and create sufficient local pressure to occlude blood flow, causing anoxic damage and myelin sheath disruption since bubbles behave like a space-occupying lesion within the nervous tissue. The high fat content of the myelin, together with the high solubility of nitrogen in fat, explain the vulnerability to injury of the spinal cord.

The pathological features of spinal DCS include hemorrhagic infarcts, edema, axonal degeneration, and severe demyelination of the affected areas, even when the clinical manifestations during life were unimpressive.^{14,23}

Our data on spinal DCS are similar to previously reported data regarding the symptoms and the distribution of spinal cord injury.^{7,9} Early symptoms of lower back pain or abdominal pain were generally followed by aggravation of the disease. As in other reports,²⁴⁻²⁷ in our population, the D12-L1 spinal cord segments were most frequently affected, which is believed to reflect the vulnerability of the blood supply in this region of the spinal cord.²⁸ The clinical presentation of spinal DCS frequently differs from any other acute spinal syndrome in which neurological examination can isolate the level of the lesion. Spinal DCS may produce multifocal lesions at various tracts and levels of the cord, resulting in a clinical picture of combined pain, sensory disturbances (frequently patchy), and motor weakness at multiple sites. Even after a detailed neurological examination, the determination of the level of the injury may be extremely difficult in many cases of neurological DCS.⁷

In six of the eight patients who continued to have multiple neurological sequelae despite recompression treatment within 2 hours of surfacing, symptoms had appeared immediately on reaching the surface. This finding suggests that a short latency to the onset of neurological symptoms may be associated with a poor outcome. A similar conclusion can be reached on the basis of other clinical data¹⁰ and from experimental studies in dogs.²⁹ In the latter, a short latency to the onset of spinal DCS was significantly correlated with severity and poor outcome. It has also been well established that 20% to 30% of patients with minor manifestations of DCS may progress to more serious neurological involvement.³⁰ All of these facts stress the importance of rapid recognition and aggressive recompression treatment of the patient with even minor spinal DCS symptoms on surfacing.

Besides depth and bottom time, several risk factors expose divers to DCS. Dehydration, by increasing blood viscosity, and physical stress, by increasing cardiac output and thus loading the tissues with nitrogen, reduce the div-

er's nitrogen elimination ability and expose him or her to a greater risk of DCS.^{4,5} The appearance of spinal DCS despite adherence to US Navy decompression tables in 41% of our patients is not surprising and has previously been reported in the neurological literature.⁹ These tables are based on experiments on fit, well-built, professional Navy divers and seem to be not completely safe when used by sport divers. It should be remembered that in addition to the risk factors mentioned herein, there are many variables associated with individual susceptibility to DCS that may account for its unpredictability in many cases.

The specific treatment for DCS is recompression as rapidly as possible. Proper hydration and 100% oxygen breathing, as well as other support treatment, are indicated until the patient reaches the hyperbaric chamber.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy given according to US Navy table 6 is the most commonly used initial treatment for neurological DCS.³⁸ The therapeutic effect of a helium-oxygen mixture in treating patients with spinal DCS has been reported in both laboratory and clinical studies.³¹⁻³³ Since helium has a lower solubility in the structural fats of the central nervous system compared with nitrogen, treatment with oxygen-helium at 4 ATA allows a higher ambient pressure to be reached without the risk of oxygen toxicity and results in faster elimination of the nitrogen than does treatment with decompression table 6 at 2.8 ATA alone. Our limited but successful experience with 50% helium-50% oxygen at 4 ATA warrants further controlled clinical trials of this mixture in the treatment of spinal DCS.

The conclusions arising from our clinical experience are (1) as the initial symptoms of spinal DCS often fail to reflect the severity of the disease, patients with mild initial symptoms on surfacing should be identified and treated vigorously; (2) divers should be made aware of the risk factors and should take them into consideration when planning the dive profile; (3) the currently available US Navy air decompression tables do not appear to provide sufficient safety margins for sport divers, although they can be used with the addition of safety factors; and (4) based on our experience, we suggest high-pressure oxygen-helium therapy as a promising alternative in the treatment of severe spinal DCS resulting from underwater air diving.

The authors thank Giora Weisz, MD, of the Israeli Naval Hyperbaric Institute for his analysis of the data and Richard Lincoln for his assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Edmonds C, Lowry C, Pennefather J. *Diving and Subaquatic Medicine*. 2nd ed. Mosman, Australia: Diving Medical Centre; 1983.
2. Strauss RH. *Diving Medicine*. New York, NY: Grune & Stratton; 1976.
3. Melamed Y, Shupak A, Bitterman H. Medical problems associated with underwater diving. *N Engl J Med*. 1992;326:30-35.
4. Shilling CW, Carlston CB, Mathias RA, eds. *The Physician's Guide to Diving Medicine*. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1984.
5. Hills BA. *Decompression Sickness, I: The Biophysical Basis of Prevention and Treatment*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons Inc; 1977.
6. Golding FC, Griffiths P, Hempleman HV, Paton WDM, Walder DN. Decompression sickness during construction of the Dartford Tunnel. *Br J Ind Med*. 1960;17:167-180.
7. Francis TJR, Smith DJ, eds. *Describing Decompression Illness: Proceedings of the 42nd Workshop of the Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society*. Bethesda, Md: Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society Inc; 1991. Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society publication 79(DEC0)5/15/91.
8. Melamed Y, Ohry A. The treatment and neurological aspects of diving accidents in Israel. *Paraplegia*. 1980;18:127-132.
9. Dick APK, Massey EW. Neurologic presentation of decompression sickness and air embolism in sport divers. *Neurology*. 1985;35:667-671.
10. Rivera JC. Decompression sickness among divers: an analysis of 935 cases. *Milit Med*. 1964;129:314-334.

11. Van Der Aue OE, Duffner GJ, Behnke AR. The treatment of decompression sickness: an analysis of 113 cases. *Indian Hyg Toxicol.* 1947;29:359-366.
12. Duff JH, Shibata HR, Vanshaik L, Usher R, Wigmore RA, Maclean LD. Hyperbaric oxygen: a review of treatment of 83 patients. *Can Med Assoc J.* 1967;97:510-515.
13. US Navy. *US Navy Diving Manual.* Washington, DC: US Dept of the Navy; 1985. NAVSEA publication 0994-LP-001-9010.
14. Sykes JJW. Spinal cord decompression sickness: a review of the pathology and some new findings. *J R Nav Med Serv.* 1985;71:139-143.
15. Boycott AE, Damant GCC. Some lesions of the spinal cord produced by experimental caisson disease. *J Pathol Bacteriol.* 1908;12:507-515.
16. Lichtenstein BW, Zetlin H. Caisson disease: a histologic study of late lesions. *Arch Pathol.* 1936;22:86-98.
17. Palmer AC, Calder IM, McCallum RI, Mastaglia FL. Spinal cord degeneration in a case of 'recovered' spinal decompression sickness. *BMJ.* 1981;283:888.
18. Hallenbeck JM, Bove AA, Elliott DH. Decompression sickness studies. In: Lambertsen CJ, ed. *Underwater Physiology, V: Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium on Underwater Physiology.* Bethesda, Md: Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology; 1976:273-286.
19. Hallenbeck JM, Bove AA, Elliott DH. Cinephotomicrography of dog spinal vessels during cord damaging decompression sickness. *Neurology.* 1976;26:190-199.
20. Bove AA, Hallenbeck JM, Elliott DH. Circulatory response to venous air embolism and decompression sickness in dogs. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1974;1:207-220.
21. Hallenbeck JM. Factors influencing the extent and degree of spinal cord damage in decompression sickness. In: Lambertsen CJ, ed. *Proceedings of a Symposium on Decompression Sickness and Its Therapy.* Allentown, Pa: Air Products and Chemicals Inc; 1979:7-17.
22. Hills BA, James PB. Spinal decompression sickness: mechanical studies and a model. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1982;9:185-201.
23. Sykes JJW, Yaffe LJ. Light and electron microscopic alterations in spinal cord myelin sheaths after decompression sickness. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1985;12:251-258.
24. Melamed Y, Sherman D, Wiler-Ravell D, Kerem D. The transportable recompression rescue chamber as an alternative to delayed treatment in serious diving accidents. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1981;52:480-484.
25. Boycott AE, Damant GCC, Haldane JS. The prevention of compressed-air illness. *J Hyg.* 1908;8:342-443.
26. Palmer AC, Calder IM, Hughes JT. Spinal cord degeneration in divers. *Lancet.* 1987;2:1365-1366.
27. Van Rensselaer H. The pathology of the caisson disease. *Med Rec.* 1891;40:141-182.
28. Carpenter MB. *Core Text of Neuroanatomy.* 2nd ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 1978.
29. Francis TJR, Dutka AJ, Flynn ET. Experimental determination of latency, severity, and outcome in CNS decompression sickness. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1988;15:419-427.
30. Bove AA, Davis JC. *Diving Medicine.* 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders Co; 1990.
31. Douglas JDM, Robinson C. Heliox treatment for spinal decompression sickness following air dives. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1988;15:315-319.
32. Hyldegaard O, Madsen J. The influence of heliox, oxygen and N₂O₂ breathing on N₂ bubbles in adipose tissue. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1989;16:185-194.
33. Hyldegaard O, Møller M, Madsen J. Effect of He-O₂, O₂, and N₂O₂ breathing on injected bubbles in spinal white matter. *Undersea Biomed Res.* 1991;18:361-371.